

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/743,394	12/22/2003	Robert Hong Leung Chiang	10,133 7179	
7590 09/17/2004			EXAMINER	
William W. Habelt			ALI, MOHAMMAD M	
Carrier Corporation Carrier Parkway			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 4800			3744	
Syracuse, NY 13221			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1 // //			
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/743,394	CHIANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mohammad Ali	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 D	ecember 2003.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2003 is/a	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objec				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	<u> </u>				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/743,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "a non-finned tube coil" for claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cur et al., (5,157,941). Cur et al., disclose a refrigerator including a cabinet/enclosure 14 defining a product keeping area and having compartment separate from product keeping area, and an air circulation circuit connecting the product keeping area and the compartment in air flow communication, an evaporator 24 and air circulation fan 38 disposed within the compartment in cooperative arrangement whereby air flow passing through the evaporator 24 passes in heat exchanging relationship with a refrigerant passing through the evaporator 24, a first fin and tube heat exchanger coil having a refrigerant inlet and refrigerant outlet, the first fin and tube heat exchanger coil having a first fin density; and a second fin and tube heat exchanger coil having refrigerant inlet and refrigerant outlet, the second fin and tube heat exchanger coil having a second density, the second fin density being greater than the first fin density, the inlet of the second fin and tube heat exchanger coil connected in refrigerant flow communication with the outlet of the first fin and tube heat exchanger coil. See Fig. 1, 3, 5 and column 3, lines 42-58.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,394

Art Unit: 3744

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cur et al. in view of Uemura et al. (US20010017203A1). Cur et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Cur et al., do not disclose a non-finned tube coil. Uemura et al. teach the use of a non-finned tube coil in an evaporator for the purpose of having a desired heat exchanger. See page 6, Para 0075. Therefore, it would have been an obvious choice of an individual skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the refrigerator of Cur et al., in view of Uemura et al., such that a coil with no-fin could be provided in order to have a desired heat exchanger.

Claims 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cur et al., in view of Renard (5,502,979). Cur et al., disclose the invention substantially as claimed as stated above. However, Cur et al., do not disclose a product display area. Renard teaches the use of a product display area/shelves 1 in a refrigerated cabinet 17 for the purpose of displaying product. See Fig. 2. Therefore, it would have been an obvious choice of an individual skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the refrigerator of Cur et al., in view of Renard such that a product display area could be provided in order to display the refrigerated product. Regarding a temperature

Application/Control Number: 10/743,394 Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

greater than 32 degrees F for claim 12, the second section of evaporator being in greater fin density will have much flow of air than the first section as the second section is at the upstream side of the air flow direction of the evaporator 24. Again cur et al. disclose defrosting relation of the evaporation coil in column 1, lines 35-50 and it is obvious that the temperature of second section/mid section of the evaporator 24 is around 32 degrees F so that defrosting problem could be avoided.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mohammad Ali whose telephone number is 703-308-5032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Esquivel Denise can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mohammad M. Ali September 13, 2004 Application/Control Number: 10/743,394

Art Unit: 3744

Page 6